Bioadhesive ultrasound for long-term continuous imaging of diverse organs
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Continuous imaging of internal organs over days could provide crucial information about health and diseases and enable insights into developmental biology. We report a bioadhesive ultrasound (BAUS) device that consists of a thin and rigid ultrasound probe robustly adhered to the skin via a couplant made of a soft, tough, antidehydrating, and bioadhesive hydrogel-elastomer hybrid. The BAUS device provides 48 hours of continuous imaging of diverse internal organs, including blood vessels, muscle, heart, gastrointestinal tract, diaphragm, and lung. The BAUS device could enable diagnostic and monitoring tools for various diseases.

Wearable devices that can continuously monitor human physiology in a noninvasive manner represent a pivotal trend in precision and digital medicine (1). Particularly, deep-tissue physiology, including internal organ signals and dynamics, contains crucial information regarding health and diseases (2). Although current wearable devices have successfully recorded physical and chemical signals from the skin such as electrocardiogram data (3–5) and sweat metabolites and electrolytes (6–8), clinical-grade imaging of various internal organs remains a central task and a challenge in the field of wearable devices. Ultrasound imaging with zero radiation allows clinicians to evaluate tissue and organ functions and diagnose various diseases (9). If sampled frequently over days to months, ultrasound imaging of internal organs could help clinicians monitor health status, observe disease progression, and assess disease risks (10–12); it could also lead to discoveries in and a better understanding of developmental biology (13).

Wearable ultrasound devices have the potential for continuous imaging of internal organs. Conventional wearable ultrasound imaging usually relies on mounting bulky ultrasound probes on the skin by either robotic systems (14, 15) or mechanical fixtures such as straps and tapes (16–18), which hamper patients’ mobility and wearing convenience and comfort. In addition, conventional wearable ultrasound imaging is only applicable to limited body parts such as muscles because of the thick ultrasound probe and the high pressure exerted by the probe (16). For example, mechanically mounting a conventional ultrasound probe on the neck to image the carotid artery, jugular vein, and vagus nerve could cause suffocation. Although the stretchable ultrasound imaging device has improved wearability (12), it still suffers from limitations, including low imaging resolution, unstable imaging quality during body motions (fig. S1), a short continuous imaging duration (1 hour), and susceptibility to device failure (table S1). (The continuous imaging duration is defined as the longest time that a device can be adhered or worn on the skin.) These limitations mainly stem from the design of the device, which consists of piezoelectric elements over a stretchable substrate (Fig. 1A). Although the stretchable substrate can deform conformally with the skin, it limits the density of elements (156 per square centimeter) and is incompatible with backing and matching layers, leading to a low imaging resolution (22). In addition, when the substrate deforms with the skin under body motions, the elements’ spatial and angular positions vary unpredictably, which hampers the imaging stability of the device (fig. S1). Furthermore, existing wearable ultrasound devices, in both rigid and stretchable form factors, mostly rely on hydrogel or elastomer couplants for acoustic transmission to the skin (19–22). However, the hydrogel couplants usually get dehydrated or detached from the skin over a few hours (19, 20), and the elastomer couplants are too damping to image deep organs (21, 22) (fig. S2 and table S2).

We report a bioadhesive ultrasound (BAUS) device that consists of a thin and rigid ultrasound probe robustly adhered to the skin via a couplant layer made of a soft, tough, antidehydrating, and bioadhesive hydrogel-elastomer hybrid (Fig. 1, B to D). The BAUS probe enables a high density of elements (400 elements per square centimeter; fig. S3), stable element positions under dynamic body motions, and high reliability of the probe in long-term applications. The BAUS couplant effectively transmits acoustic waves, insulates the BAUS probe from skin deformation, and maintains robust and comfortable adhesion on the skin over 48 hours.

The BAUS probe consists of an array of high-performance piezoelectric elements with a center frequency of 3, 7, or 10 MHz (Fig. 1, E and F; fig. S3; and table S3). Each element is controlled by the top and bottom circuits of the BAUS probe. The circuit can be fabricated with three techniques: three-dimensional (3D) printing (Fig. 1F, fig. S4, and movie S2) (23), laser etching (24), and photolithography (fig. S5) (25), which give circuit-line resolutions of 100, 10, and 1 μm, respectively. The bottom circuit is covered by an optimized acoustic matching layer to enhance the acoustic transmission to the skin, and the top circuit is covered by an optimized acoustic backing layer to quench the resonance effect (fig. S6; see materials and methods for details on fabrication and optimization of the matching and backing layers). The BAUS probe is sealed by a layer of epoxy for high stability and reliability in long-term applications. We further designed a plug-and-play input-output to connect with the flexible flat cable (fig. S7). The BAUS probe has a thickness of 3 mm, length and width of 1 to 2 cm, and weight of 10 to 40 g (fig. S3 and table S3).

The BAUS couplant consists of a soft yet tough hydrogel composed of chitosan-polyacrylamide interpenetrating polymer networks (10 wt %) and water (90 wt %) (see materials and methods for details on fabrication of the BAUS couplant). The hydrogel is encapsulated by a thin elastomer membrane (thickness of <40 μm) of polyurethane to prevent dehydration of the hydrogel and to provide comfortable skin contact with a dry couplant surface (figs. S8 and S9). The polyurethane membrane is grafted with poly(acrylic acid) coupled with N-hydroxysuccinimide ester (NHS ester) to form robust bonding with the hydrogel (26). The hydrogel-elastomer hybrid is further coated by a thin bioadhesive layer (thickness of <10 μm) synthesized by copolymerizing poly(ethylene glycol) diacrylate, 2-ethylhexyl acrylate, acrylic acid, and acrylic acid–NHS ester (fig. S10). The carboxylic acid, ethyl, and hexyl groups in the bioadhesive can form physical bonds such as hydrogen bonds and electrostatic interactions with the skin; the NHS ester groups in the bioadhesive can form covalent amide bonds with the skin (26). The total thickness of the elastomer membrane and the bioadhesive layer is less than one-fourth of the acoustic wavelength to minimize the acoustic attenuation of the BAUS couplant (Fig. 2D).

To quantitatively validate that the BAUS device can robustly adhere to the skin under
Fig. 1. Design and imaging performances of the BAUS device. (A) Existing epidermal wearable ultrasound imaging usually relies on thin and stretchable devices physically attached to the skin. (B) The BAUS device consists of a thin and rigid ultrasound probe robustly adhered to the skin via a couplant made of a soft, tough, antidehydrating, and bioadhesive hydrogel-elastomer hybrid. The dashed rectangle indicates the region shown on the right in (C). (C) The BAUS couplant consists of a tough hydrogel, containing 90 wt % water, that is encapsulated by an elastomer membrane further coated by a thin bioadhesive layer. The BAUS couplant maintains robust adhesion between the BAUS probe and the skin over 48 hours and insulates the BAUS probe from skin deformation during dynamic body motions. (D) Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of the cross section of the BAUS couplant. The total thickness of the elastomer membrane and the bioadhesive layer is less than one-fourth of the ultrasound wavelength $\lambda$. Scale bar is 10 $\mu$m. (E) Schematics of the BAUS probe structure. The BAUS probe consists of an array of high-performance piezoelectric elements, which are controlled by the top and bottom circuits. The top and bottom circuits are covered by the acoustic backing and matching layers, respectively. The dashed rectangle indicates the region shown in (F). (F) Optical microscopic image of a BAUS probe with 3D-printed top and bottom circuits. The inset image provides a zoomed-in top view of the device showing the top circuit and piezoelectric elements. Scale bar is 0.5 mm. (G) Comparison of axial-imaging resolutions and continuous-imaging durations of existing wearable ultrasound imaging devices and the BAUS device.
couplant thickness

Young 100 kPa, device length 10 MHz over 48 hours in air. (Fig. 2D and fig. S14) We further measured the acoustic impedance of the BAUS couplant to be 1.59 MRayl, which matches the acoustic impedance of the skin. In comparison, the typical liquid and solid hydrogel couplants have similar attenuation coefficients as those of the BAUS couplant at frequencies from 1 to 10 MHz: 0.070 to 0.120 dB/mm and 0.117 to 0.174 dB/mm, respectively. However, the attenuation coefficients of all abovementioned elastomer couplants are multiple times higher than that of the BAUS couplant at the same frequency. This is consistent with the result that these elastomer couplants are too damping to allow wearable ultrasound imaging of internal organs (fig. S2).

To evaluate the performances of the BAUS probes, we first performed electrical impedance measurements on all elements in the probes (fig. S15 and table S4). The measured center frequency of the elements on each BAUS probe is indeed 3, 7, or 10 MHz (with a minor deviation of <5%) as prescribed; the electrical impedances of the probes at their center frequencies are 49, 36, and 43 ohms, respectively (fig. S16 and table S4). The measured trical impedances of the probes at their center frequencies are 49, 36, or 43 ohms, respectively. However, the attenuation coefficients of all abovementioned elastomer couplants are multiple times higher than that of the BAUS couplant at the same frequency. This is consistent with the result that these elastomer couplants are too damping to allow wearable ultrasound imaging of internal organs (fig. S2).

To evaluate the imaging resolutions of the BAUS probes, we first performed the pulse-echo tests on all BAUS probes to measure their bandwidths. The measured bandwidths of the 3-, 7-, and 10-MHz BAUS probes are 68, 75, and 78%, respectively (fig. S18 and table S4). We further measured the pulse-echo tests on all BAUS probes to measure their bandwidths. The measured bandwidths of the 3-, 7-, and 10-MHz BAUS probes are 68, 75, and 78%, respectively (fig. S18 and table S4).

To evaluate the acoustic performance of the BAUS couplant, we used the transmission-through method to measure the attenuation coefficients of the BAUS couplant. At frequencies from 1 to 10 MHz, the attenuation coefficients of the BAUS couplant were measured to be from 0.036 to 0.131 dB/mm (Fig. 2D and fig. S14). We further measured the acoustic impedance of the BAUS couplant to be 1.59 MRayl, which matches the acoustic impedance of the skin.
lateral resolutions of the 3-, 7-, and 10-MHz BAUS probes are 1.79, 0.38, and 0.38 mm at their imaging focal depths of 6, 3, and 2 cm, respectively (figs. S20 to S22 and table S4). These measured values are also confirmed by the acoustic simulations (figs. S20 to S22). In comparison, the axial resolution of the stretchable ultrasound imaging probe (2 MHz) is reported to be 5.775 mm (12).

To test the imaging stability of the BAUS device, we adhered the BAUS device on a phantom of a blood vessel in soft tissues under various frequencies of vibration (0 to 3.5 Hz with an amplitude of 2 cm) to evaluate its acoustic performance under dynamic body motions. Because of stable adhesion of the BAUS device to the phantom and the relatively high imaging frame rate (40 Hz), the motion artifact on imaging is minimal (fig. S23).

To test the thermal properties of the BAUS device, we measured the temperature of the BAUS device when continuously actuated by various levels of working voltages (0 to 90 V) over 48 hours. Owing to its low working power and thin form factor for heat dissipation, the BAUS device can maintain a constant level of temperature (24°C) while continuously imaging for 48 hours (figs. S24 and S25), validating its thermal stability in long-term applications.

We demonstrate the BAUS imaging platform's capability to perform 48-hour continuous imaging of human organs, including blood vessels and heart, muscle and diaphragm, stomach, and lung. These organs represent the cardiovascular, muscular, digestive, and respiratory systems, respectively. To image organs with depths less than 6 cm beneath the skin (i.e., jugular vein, carotid artery, and bicep muscle), we chose an ultrasound probe with a center frequency of 7 or 10 MHz and adopted the plane wave compounding method (fig. S26). To image organs deeper than 6 cm beneath the skin (i.e., heart, stomach, diaphragm, and lung), we chose an ultrasound probe with a center frequency of 3 or 10 MHz and adopted the focal-domain compounding method (fig. S27). Specifications of BAUS ultrasonic imaging probes are shown in table S4.
frequency of 3 MHz and adopted the phased array imaging method (figs. S27 to S29 and movies S3 and S4; see materials and methods for details on acoustic simulation). The probes are adhered to various locations on the skin of a healthy subject via the BAUS couplants for continuous imaging of the corresponding organs over 48 hours (see materials and methods for details on data acquisition and image processing).

Figure 3 and fig. S30 demonstrate the imaging results of the jugular vein and carotid artery obtained by the 10-MHz BAUS device adhered to the right-hand side of the neck (Fig. 3A). Dynamic body motions such as neck rotation can cause blood vessel shifting and skin deformation, which deteriorate the imaging quality and stability of existing wearable ultrasound devices (11). By contrast, the BAUS device can provide 48-hour continuous imaging of the jugular vein and carotid artery under dynamic body motions such as neck rotation with angles up to ±30° (Fig. 3B and movie S5). This is because of the robust adhesion of the BAUS device on the neck, the high imaging resolution of the BAUS probe, and the large acoustic window given by the BAUS probe aperture (2 cm by 1 cm). The BAUS imaging results show that the diameter of the jugular vein in the subject increases...
from the sitting or standing position to the supine position (Fig. 3C and fig. S30). The change of the jugular vein diameter strongly correlates with the right atrium pressure (28) and thus can be used to diagnose cardiac diseases such as heart failure and pulmonary hypertension (29). The BAUS imaging results further provide 48-hour continuous blood flow rate and blood pressure waveform data of the carotid artery (Fig. 3, D to F, and fig. S30). For example, we observed dramatic increases in blood flow rate (from 65 to 117 cm/s; Fig. 3D) and systolic blood pressure (from 115 to 168 mmHg; Fig. 3E) of the carotid artery in the subject before and after 0.5 hours of physical exercise (see materials and methods for details on data acquisition and calculation of the blood pressure). Moreover, from the blood pressure waveform measured after the 0.5-hour jogging session (right panel of Fig. 3E), we can see a steeper decrease in the blood pressure from the systolic peak in each cardiac cycle than before the exercise, possibly because of the exercise-induced vasodilation of the carotid artery.

Figure S31 demonstrates the imaging results of the biceps muscle obtained by the 7-MHz BAUS device adhered to the upper arm of the subject (fig. S31). The BAUS system can provide 48-hour continuous imaging of the bicipital muscle. For example, we observed increased blood perfusion and echogenicity on the muscle and tendon interface 2 hours after a 1-hour weightlifting training session and increased vascularity 10 hours after the training (fig. S32). Figure 4, A and B, and fig. S33 demonstrate the imaging results of the lung obtained by the 3-MHz BAUS phased-array device adhered on the right chest (longitudinal direction) of the subject (Fig. 4A). The BAUS system can provide 48-hour continuous imaging of key characteristics of the lung, including the pleural line and A-lines. The BAUS device is also able to continuously and stably image the lung under body motions such as jogging and cycling (fig. S34). The BAUS imaging shows a smooth pleural line and repetitive A-lines of the subject over 48 hours, indicating a healthy, normally aerated lung. Although lung ultrasoundography has proven to be an effective tool for diagnosing COVID-19 patients (30), it is mostly available in hospitals for infrequent and noncontinuous imaging of the lung. By contrast, the wearable BAUS system could continuously monitor the symptoms of possibly infected COVID-19 patients at home. Figure 4, C and D, demonstrates the imaging results of the diaphragm motility obtained by the 3-MHz BAUS phased-array device adhered on the right anterior subcostal region of the subject before and after 0.5 hours of physical exercise. The BAUS imaging shows that the diaphragm motility after training is more dramatic in amplitude and frequency compared with quiet breathing before training.

Figure 4E and fig. S35 demonstrate the imaging results of the heart obtained by the 3-MHz BAUS phased-array device adhered on the left chest of the subject (as visualized through the apical four-chamber view). The BAUS system can provide 48-hour continuous imaging of the dynamics of the four cardiac chambers: the right ventricle, right atrium, left ventricle, and left atrium. The BAUS device is also able to continuously and stably image the heart under body motions such as jogging and cycling (fig. S34). For example, we observed that the size of the left ventricle greatly increases after 0.5 hours of physical exercise. The continuous images of the cardiac chambers (fig. S35) can be further processed to calculate the dynamics of cardiac strain, which is an important parameter that could indicate cardiomyopathy.

Figure 4F and fig. S36 demonstrate the imaging results of the stomach obtained by the 3-MHz BAUS phased-array device adhered on the upper abdomen skin of the subject. The BAUS imaging system provides 48-hour continuous imaging of the gastric antrocardiac section. For example, we observed an extended gastric antrum once the subject drank 450 ml of juice (Fig. 4F). Over the next 2 hours, the gastric antrocardiac-cross-sectional area gradually decreased because of gastric emptying (Fig. 4F and fig. S36).

Although the imaging resolutions of the BAUS probes are superior to those of existing wearable ultrasound devices (Fig. 1G and table S1), the BAUS probes can be further improved for better imaging quality in the future. The pitch of the current BAUS probes is relatively large (0.5 mm; table S1) and can cause grating lobes, especially in the 7- and 10-MHz BAUS probes. Although the grating-lobe artifacts can be alleviated by using the plane wave comounding method (fig. S26) (31), the pitches of future BAUS probes will be reduced to eliminate the artifacts. Although the current BAUS probes do not have elevation focusing, thin-profile (<1 mm) elevation lenses (32) can be added to future BAUS probes for elevation focusing to achieve better imaging quality, especially for deep organs.

Because the current work is focused on designing and fabricating the BAUS devices, we use an external system (Verasonics Vantage system) for data acquisition. Despite the large size of the data acquisition system, the BAUS devices may find immediate applications in nonmobile imaging in clinical settings such as long-term imaging of patients in intensive-care units. In addition, because the data acquisition systems of point-of-care ultrasound devices (e.g., GE VScan, Butterfly IQ, and Phillips Lumify) have been miniaturized to the size of a cell phone, the data acquisition system of BAUS can be miniaturized in the future. Beyond clinical applications, the BAUS imaging platform could provide long-term continuous imaging of the developments of tissues and organs—including embryo, tumors, and brain—in a noninvasive manner (33, 34).

The current paradigm for the biointegration of devices is to make the devices thin and stretchable for conformal attachment on the body. Here, we propose a different paradigm for biointegration: to robustly adhere thin and rigid devices on the body via a soft, tough, and bioadhesive couplant (Figs. 1 and 2). Developing bioadhesive couplants that enable electrical, optical, thermal, chemical, and/or biological interfacing with the body could open new avenues to future biointegrated devices.
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Continuous long-term ultrasound imaging
Ultrasound is widely used for the noninvasive imaging of tissues and organs, but this method requires close contact between the transducer and the target area. This can make it difficult to acquire images over a long period of time, especially if the patient needs to be mobile. Wang *et al.* describe a wearable ultrasound imaging device (see the Perspective by Tan and Lu). A rigid piezoelectric probe array is bonded to the skin with an acoustically transparent hydrogel elastomer. In vivo testing showed that the device could be comfortably worn for 48 hours, and hooking the array up to a commercially available ultrasound platform allowed for continuous ultrasound images of the carotid artery, lung, and abdomen. —MSL
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Materials and Methods

Finite element simulations of the couplant-skin system

Finite element simulations are conducted using the commercial package Abaqus/standard. Both the couplant and the skin are modeled as incompressible linear elastic materials with Poisson’s ratio of $\nu = 0.5$ and Young’s moduli of $E$ and $E_s$, respectively. The plane strain condition is adopted in the simulations, and the plane strain moduli of the couplant and the skin are denoted as $E^* = E / (1 - \nu^2)$ and $E_s^* = E_s / (1 - \nu^2)$, respectively. A symmetric model about the $y$-axis is adopted as shown in fig. S11A and B. A significantly large substrate of the skin is modelled (not fully shown in the figure) and a strain $\varepsilon_{app} = 20\%$ is applied on the skin. Notably, $20\%$ is typically the maximum tensile strain that the normal skin can sustain without feeling pains. To simulate the initial crack, a seam with length $a$ is assigned at the edge of the couplant/skin interface. Meshes around the crack tip are carefully controlled such that circular contours can be generated to compute the J-integral around the crack tip. Thereafter, the energy release rate $G$ is calculated by averaging the J-integrals of the six contours. By taking $E = E_s = 100$ kPa and $L=2$ cm while varying the initial crack length $a$ and the thickness of the couplant $H$, we can plot the energy release rate as a function of the normalized crack length $a / L$ under various values of $H/L$ in fig. S11C. The maximum energy release rate $G_{\text{max}}$ is then determined as the peak value of each curve as highlighted by the red dot in fig. S11C. Based on those results, we can see that the maximum energy release rate $G_{\text{max}}$ increases as the couplant becomes thinner. Notably, the energy release rate $G$ used in Fig. 2B is taken from the $G_{\text{max}}$ calculated here.

By further tuning the modulus of the couplant, we can plot the normalized maximum energy release rate $G_{\text{max}} / (E_s^* L \varepsilon_{app}^2)$ as a function of normalized thickness $H/L$ under various $E^*/E_s^*$ in fig. S11D. It is evident that the maximum energy release rate increases when the couplant gets stiffer and thinner. And the upper limit of $G_{\text{max}}$ is achieved when the couplant is becoming rigid, i.e., $E^* \rightarrow \infty$. Note that this extreme scenario is essentially the same as the scenario when the thickness of the couplant is approaching zero, i.e., $H \rightarrow 0$ since the top surface of the bioadhesive is assumed to be bonded on a rigid device.

Analytical solutions of the couplant-skin system

Analytical solutions can be derived when the couplant is rigid $E^* \rightarrow \infty$. For a rigid punch bonded to an infinitely large substrate, the displacement at plane $y=0$ in $x$-direction within the bonded zone (i.e., $0 \leq x \leq L/2 - a$) in the initial configuration can be written as

$$u = 0 \quad (1)$$

Consider an intermediate configuration where the substrate undergoes an applied strain $\varepsilon_{app}$ without constraints at $y=0$. The displacement within the $0 \leq x \leq L/2 - a$ is uniform and can be written as

$$u_1 = \varepsilon_{app} x \quad (2)$$
Now assume a shear stress \( q(x) \) is applied at plane \( y=0 \) in the intermediate configuration such that the displacement field within the \( 0 \leq x \leq L/2 - a \) is changed from Eq. 2 to Eq. 1, i.e., \( q(x) \) yields a displacement
\[
 u_2 = -\varepsilon_{\text{app}} x 
\] (3)
\( q(x) \) can be calculated as (36)
\[
 q(x) = -\frac{2}{3} E \frac{x}{\sqrt{(L/2 - a)^2 - x^2}} \varepsilon_{\text{app}} 
\] (4)
Given that initial and intermediate configurations are interchangeable in linear elasticity, Eq. (4) represents the shear stress within the bonded zone. From Eq. (4) one can further calculate the Mode II stress intensity factor
\[
 K_{II} = \lim_{x \to L/2-a} q(x) \sqrt{2\pi \left(\frac{L}{2} - a - x\right)} = -\frac{2}{3} E \sqrt{\pi \left(\frac{L}{2} - a\right)} \varepsilon_{\text{app}} 
\] (5)
Therefore, the energy release rate can be calculated as
\[
 G = \frac{1}{2E_s^*} (K_{II})^2 = \frac{\pi}{6} E_s \left(\frac{L}{2} - a\right) \varepsilon_{\text{app}}^2 
\] (6)
Plugging \( E_s = 100 \) kPa, \( L = 2 \) cm, \( \varepsilon_{\text{app}} = 0.2 \), Eq. (6) is plotted as the magenta curve in fig. S11C. The maximum energy release rate \( G_{\text{max}} = 20.9 \) J/m\(^2\) is achieved when \( a \to 0 \).

Fabrication of the BAUS couplant
All chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich unless otherwise indicated and used without further purification. The chemicals for synthesizing the tough hydrogel include acrylamide (AAm), acetic acid (AAc), \( \alpha \)-ketoglutaric acid, chitosan (high molecular weight), \( N, N' \)-methylenebisacrylamide (MBA), calcium chloride (CaCl\(_2\)). The chemicals for synthesizing the elastomer membrane include hydrophilic polyurethane (Advant Source biomaterials), hydrophobic polyurethane (Advant Source biomaterials), acrylic acid (AAc), benzophenone, \( \alpha \)-ketoglutaric acid, 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide (EDC), and \( N \)-hydroxysuccinimide ester (NHS ester), tetrahydrofuran (THF), \( N, N' \)-Dimethylformamide (DMF), and ethanol. The chemicals for synthesizing the bioadhesive layer include acrylic acid (AAc), acrylic acid \( N \)-hydroxysuccinimide ester (AAc-NHS ester), 2-hydroxy-4′-(2-hydroxyethoxy)-2-methylpropiophenone (Irgacure 2959), polycaprolactone polyurethane, 2-ethylhexyl acrylate (EHA), poly(ethylene glycol) diacrylate (average Mn 560, PEGDA), and ethanol.

To prepare the PAAm-chitosan tough hydrogel, we dissolved 3% (w/w) high molecular weight chitosan, 12% (w/w) acrylamide, 0.15% (w/w) \( N, N' \)-methylenedisacrylamide, 0.3% (w/w) \( \alpha \)-ketoglutaric acid, 1% (w/w) acetic acid in deionized water. The mixture was then centrifuged at 9000 rpm to remove air bubbles and poured into a glass mold with a transparent cover. The solution was cured in ultraviolet light (UV) chamber (364 nm, 10 W power) for 60 min. The obtained tough hydrogels were immersed in 1M CaCl\(_2\) solution for 24 hours to reach an equilibrium state.
To prepare the polyurethane elastomer membrane, an adhesive polyurethane was first made by grafting PAA chains onto hydrophilic polyurethane. Specifically, we dissolved 32% (w/w) acrylic acid, 8% (w/w) hydrophilic polyurethane (AdvanSource Biomaterial), 1.5% (w/w) benzophenone, and 0.3% (w/w) α-ketoglutaric acid in nitrogen-purged deionized water and ethanol mixture (water:ethanol = 1:2 v/v). The mixture was degassed and reacted under ultraviolet light (UV) (364 nm, 10 W power) for 120 min. After the reaction, the mixture solution was dialyzed (Cutoff Mn 3000 Da) against ethanol for 3 days and against water for 3 more days to obtain the pure adhesive polyurethane. The purified adhesive polyurethane was washed with water and fully dried for future use. Then a thin layer of hydrophobic polyurethane (30% (w/w) hydrophobic polyurethane in a mixture of DMF, THF, and acetone (DMF:THF:Acetone = 3:3:4 v/v)) was spin-coated at 1500 rpm on a clean glass. After the hydrophobic polyurethane was fully dried, a thin layer of hydrophilic polyurethane (30% (w/w) hydrophilic polyurethane in deionized water and ethanol mixture (water:ethanol = 1:2 v/v)) was spin-coated at 1500 rpm on the hydrophobic polyurethane layer. Then, a thin layer of adhesive polyurethane with EDC and NHS (30% (w/w) adhesive polyurethane, 5% (w/w) EDC, and 5% (w/w) NHS in nitrogen-purged deionized water and ethanol mixture (water:ethanol = 1:2 v/v)) was spin-coated at 1500 rpm on the hydrophilic polyurethane layer. After the film was fully dried in airflow for 4 hours, the polyurethane elastomer membrane was obtained.

To prepare the bioadhesive layer, we dissolved 0.5% (w/w) Irgacure 2959, 0.05% (w/w) PEGDA, 12% (w/w) AAc, 3% (w/w) AAc-NHS ester, 35% (w/w) EHA in nitrogen-purged ethanol. The mixture was then poured on a glass mold with spacers. The adhesive film was obtained after curing the mixture under ultraviolet light (UV) (364 nm, 10 W power) for 40 min.

To integrate the above components into the hydrogel-elastomer hybrid, the tough hydrogel was cut into desired shape and size, and then we carefully adhered the adhesive polyurethane side of the elastomer membrane onto the tough gel with a gentle press to avoid any bubbles. Then the hydrophobic side of the polyurethane was adhered to one side of the bioadhesive layer by 50% (w/w) hydrophilic polyurethane in nitrogen-purged deionized water and ethanol mixture (water:ethanol = 1:2 v/v). The edge of the elastomer and bioadhesive encapsulation was cut and sealed by laser sintering.

**Acoustic simulation of the ultrasound probe**
The calculations of the acoustic beam directivity, beamwidth, penetration, and intensity in fig. S20-22, and S27-29 were performed in Field II, MATLAB (MathWorks, Natick, MA). The center frequency and the geometry of all elements in each probe were set to be identical. The input excitation voltage (40 V), the single-cycle pulse, and the electromechanical coefficient (0.6) of all
elements were set to be identical as well. The attenuation coefficient of the media (normalized by frequency) was taken as 0.75 dB·cm\(^{-1}\)·MHz\(^{-1}\) to mimic acoustic properties of human tissues.

**Measurement of the interfacial toughness**
The interfacial toughness of the elastomer membrane adhered on a dried porcine skin via the bioadhesive layer was measured using the standard 90°-peeling test (ASTM D 2861) with a mechanical testing machine (20 N load cells; Zwick/Roell Z2.5) and 90°-peeling fixture (Test Resources, G50). All tests were performed either in ambient air or in water at room temperature. The dried porcine skin was treated with ethanol to mimic the properties of human epidemic skin. The elastomer (thickness, 40 μm) and bioadhesive (thickness, 10 μm) were prepared with 2.5 cm in width \(W\) and 7.5 cm in length \(L\). As a stiff backing for the elastomer membrane, a polycarbonate film was bonded onto the back surface of the elastomer. The resultant sample was tested with the standard 90°-peeling test with a constant peeling speed of 50 mm min\(^{-1}\). The measured peeling force reached a plateau (with slight oscillations), as the peeling process entered a steady state. The interfacial toughness \(J^*\) was determined by dividing the plateau force \(F\) by the width of the sample \(W\).

**Measurement of the attenuation coefficient**
The ultrasound attenuation coefficients of the BAUS couplant and various commercial couplants were measured by the transmission-through method in a water tank. Specifically, a broadband emitter transducer (driven by Verasonics Vantage system) was fixed at one end of the water tank. An Onda broadband needle hydrophone (connected with a hydrophone preamplifier and a Picoscope digital oscilloscope) was mounted coaxially as the receiver. The samples were placed perpendicularly to the transducer in a sample-holder between the emitter and the receiver. The transmitted signals through the samples were recorded, and the pure water (without sample) signal was also recorded as a reference. The attenuation coefficient \(\alpha\) (in dB/mm) of the sample could be obtained by comparing the amplitude spectrum of the sample signal and the reference water signal, \[\alpha(\omega) = 20h^{-1}\log_{10}\left[A_{\text{water}}(\omega)/A_{\text{sample}}(\omega)\right]\] (7) where \(\alpha\) (in dB/mm) is the attenuation coefficient of the sample, \(h\) is the thickness of the sample, \(A_{\text{water}}(\omega)\) is the amplitude spectrum of the reference water, and \(A_{\text{sample}}(\omega)\) is the amplitude spectrum of the sample.

**Fabrication and optimization of the matching layer**
The matching layer for the BAUS probe was constructed by doping epoxy (EPO-TEK 301) with cerium dioxide nanoparticles (particle size 10 nm, Sigma-Aldrich) in ethanol (cerium dioxide weight ratio in epoxy from 0% to 80%). The matching layer provided the required acoustic impedance gradient, which is essential for the acoustic wave from the transducer to smoothly penetrate into the skin and for the reflected acoustic waves (the returning echo) to smoothly return to the transducer for imaging.
According to the Krimholtz-Leedom-Matthaei (KLM) model, the theoretical 100% transmission of a sinusoidal acoustic wave is shown to occur when the matching layer thickness approaches $\frac{1}{4} \lambda_m$, where $\lambda_m$ is the acoustic wavelength in the matching layer. Further based on the KLM model, the acoustic impedance of the matching layer material $Z_m$ is

$$Z_m = \left(\frac{Z_p Z_l}{2}\right)^{1/2}$$

(8)

where $Z_p$ and $Z_l$ are the acoustic impedances of the piezoelectric material and the loading medium, respectively. The 1-3 composite piezoelectric material we adopt in this paper has an acoustic impedance of 18 MRayl and the skin (the loading media) has an acoustic impedance of 1.62 MRayl. Based on Eq. 8, we can calculate $Z_m = 5.4$ MRayl, which can be realized by doping 15 wt.% cerium dioxide nanoparticles into epoxy. The acoustic wavelengths ($\lambda_m$) of 3 MHz, 7 MHz, and 10 MHz ultrasound waves in the matching layer with $Z_m = 5.4$ MRayl are 412.4 μm, 235.6 μm, and 165.2 μm, respectively. Therefore, the optimized thicknesses of the matching layers ($\frac{1}{4} \lambda_m$) for 3 MHz, 7 MHz, and 10 MHz BAUS probes are 103.1 μm, 58.9 μm, and 41.3 μm, respectively.

Fabrication and optimization of the backing layer
The backing layer for the BAUS probe can give mechanical support to the elements inside the probe that will generate high-frequency vibrations. The backing layer also has strong attenuation of the ultrasound wave to effectively shorten the pulse duration and thus increase the imaging resolution.

To optimize the composition of the backing layer, we constructed the transducers with backing layers of different compositions of epoxy (EPO-TEK 301) with tungsten powder (particle size 1 μm, Sigma-Aldrich). A composition of 8:1 (epoxy to tungsten powder, weight ratio) gave the optimized acoustic properties. The BAUS probe with the optimized backing layer showed a decent increase in signal quality, including shorter pulse length and higher signal-to-noise ratio (40 dB) (fig. S6).

To optimize the thickness of the backing layer, we then used the KLM model to calculate the pulse-echo excitation response of the BAUS probe with the optimized matching layer. From the KLM model calculation, we found that increasing the backing layer thickness from 1 mm to 2 mm can shorten the spatial pulse length (fig. S6A, fig. S6B). However, further increasing the backing layer thickness from 2 mm to 4 mm will not decrease the spatial pulse length (fig. S6B, fig. S6C). The experimental results (fig. S6D-F) are consistent with the KLM models’ results. Therefore, we chose an optimized thickness of 2mm for the backing layers of our BAUS probes.

Determination of imaging resolutions
Axial resolution:
To evaluate the axial resolutions of the BAUS probes, we used the pulse-echo tests to characterize the spatial pulse lengths (SPL) of 3 MHz, 7 MHz, and 10 MHz BAUS probes. From the pulse-echo results (Fig. S19), we can derive the spatial pulse lengths of the 3 MHz, 7 MHz, and 10 MHz BAUS probes to be 1.54, 0.55, and 0.385 mm, respectively. Their axial resolutions can be calculated as SPL/2.

The axial resolution of the stretchable ultrasound imaging probe was reported to be 5.775 mm at the frequency of 2 MHz (12). Since other wearable ultrasound imaging devices (14-18) did not report their axial resolutions, we first calculated their acoustic wavelengths in the tissue based on their frequencies. Then the SPL was estimated as the acoustic wavelengths multiplied by the pulse-cycle number, which is 2 to 4 for common ultrasound imaging probes. Taking the pulse-cycle number as 3, we can approximately estimate the axial resolutions of these wearable ultrasound devices.

Lateral resolution:
To evaluate the lateral resolutions of the BAUS probes, we used the probes to image a standard imaging phantom (fig. S20, S21, and S22). The phantom contains standard thin wire reflectors (200 μm diameter) distributed at various depths. Based on the images of the reflectors, we measured the beamwidths at various depths. The beamwidth gave the lateral resolution of the BAUS probe at the imaging depth. To validate the experimental results, we performed the corresponding acoustic simulations by Field II platform. The simulation results on the lateral resolutions are consistent with the experimental measurements (fig. S20, S21, and S22). Other wearable ultrasound imaging devices (12, 14-18) did not report their lateral resolutions.

Imaging mode and data acquisition of the BAUS system
Radio-frequency signals received by the BAUS probe were post-processed by the Vantage system (Verasonics, Inc). For imaging organs that are within 6 cm beneath the skin including muscle, carotid artery, and jugular vein, we adopted the plane wave compounding method. For imaging organs that are deeper than 6 cm beneath the skin, including heart, lung, diaphragm, and stomach, we adopted the phased array harmonic imaging and spatial compounding algorithms. All imaging algorithms were adapted from the algorithm packages of the Verasonics system. If a commercial ultrasound probe was adopted as the BAUS probe, the signals were post-processed by the corresponding commercial ultrasound machine.

Calculation of blood pressure.
By recording the continuous cross-sectional images of the blood vessel, we can extract its diameter to calculate the blood pressure waveform following a previously reported method (37). The arterial blood pressure waveform \( p(t) \) follows,
\[ p(t) = p_d \cdot e^{d\left(\frac{A(t)}{A_d} - 1\right)} \]  

where \( p_d \) is the diastolic pressure, \( A_d \) is the diastolic cross-sectional area of the artery, \( A(t) \) is the cross-sectional area of the artery as a function of time \( t \), \( \alpha \) is the rigidity coefficient, and \( t \) is the time. Assuming that the artery is rotationally symmetrical, \( A(t) \) can be calculated as,

\[ A(t) = \frac{\pi d^2(t)}{4} \]  

where \( d(t) \) is the diameter of the artery as a function of time \( t \).

We assume the human blood vessel is elastic with negligible viscoelasticity, so that the pressure-diameter curve has a moderate hysteresis, below 0.2%. This is suitable for subjects with normal local vascular conditions or with slight local atherosclerosis. In this situation, the diameter of the vessel will not lag behind the pressure waveforms. And the equation above can be used to reconstruct the blood-pressure waveforms from the vessel-diameter waveforms.

The rigidity coefficient \( \alpha \) can be calculated by,

\[ \alpha = \frac{A_d \ln(p_s / p_d)}{A_s - A_d} \]  

where \( A_s \) is the systolic cross-sectional area of the artery and \( p_s \) is the systolic pressure which can be measured by a commercial blood pressure cuff. Using the aforementioned equations (Eq. 9-11), the pressure waveform \( p(t) \) can be achieved after a brief calibration for \( \alpha \) and \( p_d \).
Fig. S1.
Intrinsic limitation on the imaging resolution and stability of stretchable ultrasound devices. A. Stretchable ultrasound array on a static and flat surface. When the relative positions of the transmitter \((x_i, y_i)\) and the receiver \((x_j, y_j)\) are on a flat geometry, the spatial location of the target of interest \(P(x, y)\) can be calculated based on the signal received accurately. B. Stretchable ultrasound array on a curvilinear surface. The unknown profile of the skin creates unpredictable disturbance to the relative positions of the transmitter \((x_i, y_i)\) and the receiver \((x_j, y_j)\), making them into unknown parameters. In addition, dynamic body motions can cause skin deformation, further exacerbating the disturbance of the relative positions of the transmitter and the receiver. Because there is no practical way to calculate the transmitter and receiver locations in real-time, image reconstruction must assume that the transmitter and receiver are fixed at static locations, causing errors in image reconstruction for the target of interest \(P(x \pm \delta_x, y \pm \delta_y)\) where \(\delta\) denotes error.
Fig. S2.
Comparison of imaging performances of the BAUS couplant and typical elastomer couplants on the market including silicone (Ecoflex, Smooth-On), polyurethane (Sigma-Aldrich), acrylic tape (VHB, 3M), and Aqualene (Olympus).
Fig. S3.
Illustration of the design of the BAUS probes. See table S3 for design specifications of 3 MHz, 7 MHz, and 10 MHz BAUS probes.
Fig. S4.
Resolution limit of 3D-printed circuits. From the upper left image to the bottom right image, we gradually decreased the printed circuit-line width. Only the finest one (bottom right image) appear an open circuit in the printing trajectory. The resolution of the printed circuit line can reach 100 µm. Scale bar, 200 µm.
Fig. S5.
Fabrication of the top and bottom circuits by photolithography and full device assembly. For high-resolution circuit fabrication, we performed photolithography by using mask aligner followed by E-beam evaporator for metal deposition. Then we performed transfer printing of the high-resolution circuit to an epoxy substrate. Next we applied soldering paste to each bare electrode on the circuit for bonding to individual elements, and then we performed the soldering process by heating (150°C for 5 minutes). After each element was fully bonded on the circuits, we added backing and matching layers on the top and bottom circuits with spin coating. The resultant probe was encapsulated by epoxy to increase device robustness. As the final step, we added a flexible flat cable connector to the device.
Fig. S6.
Krimholtz-Leedom-Matthaei (KLM) simulation and pulse-echo tests for optimizing the backing layer on the BAUS probe (3 MHz). A. KLM simulation of pulse-echo of the BAUS probe with 1-mm backing layer. B. KLM simulation of pulse-echo of the BAUS probe with 2-mm backing layer. C. KLM simulation of pulse-echo of the BAUS probe with 4-mm backing layer. D. Experimental measurement of pulse-echo from the BAUS probe with 1-mm backing layer. E. Experimental measurement of pulse-echo from the BAUS probe with 2-mm backing layer. F. Experimental measurement of pulse-echo from the BAUS probe with 4-mm backing layer. Note an aluminum block was used as the reflector.
**Fig. S7.**
Plug & play design for the BAUS device. A. The input and output (I/O) allows for immediate usage of the BAUS probe after plugging in the flexible flat cable (FFC). B. The FFC can easily insert the connector for power supply and data transmission. C. Schematics for the whole device containing the BAUS probe and the FFC connector. D. 3D view of the FFC connector, which contains front pins to interface with the transducer and back pins to connect with the FFC. The mechanical lock can fix the FFC after plugged in. E. Front view of the FFC connector and transducer interface. The insert schematics show the side view of the connection. F. Back view of the FFC connector pin to the FFC. The insert schematics show the side view of the connection.
Fig. S8.
Comparison of anti-dehydration performances of the BAUS couplant, liquid hydrogel couplant (Aquasonic Clear, Parker Labs), and solid hydrogel couplant (Aquaflex, Parker Labs). All samples are stored in the ambient environment (relative humidity, 30-50%; temperature, 24°C) for 48 hours. The BAUS couplant shows negligible changes of its thickness or diameter, indicating almost no dehydration. Both the liquid hydrogel couplant and the solid hydrogel couplant shrink their dimensions substantially, indicating severe dehydration. Scale bar, 10 mm.
**Fig. S9.**
Stable adhesion of the BAUS couplant on the skin over 48 hours. A. Schematic illustration of stable adhesion of the bioadhesive couplant on various locations on the body (arm, neck, chest, waist). B. Photos of stable adhesion of the bioadhesive couplant on various locations on the body (arm, neck, chest, waist) over 48 hours (relative humidity, 30-50%; temperature, 24°C). Scale bar, 10 mm.
Fig. S10.
Chemical structure of the bioadhesive layer in the BAUS couplant.
Fig. S11.
Mechanical modeling of the couplant-skin system. A. Schematic illustration of the parameters in the mechanical model. B. The mechanical simulation adopts a symmetric model about the y-axis. Finite element simulations are conducted using the commercial package Abaqus/standard. C. Energy release rate $G$ versus normalized crack length ($a/L$) of various couplant thicknesses. D. Normalized maximum energy release rate $G_{\text{max}}/(E_s^L \varepsilon_{\text{app}}^2)$ versus normalized thickness $H/L$ under various $E^*/E_s^*$. 
Fig. S12.
Benign detachment of the BAUS device from the skin. A. The detachment can be initiated anytime by peeling from one corner of the BAUS couplant. B. Detachment process. C. No residue is left on the skin after the removal of the BAUS device. Scale bar, 10 mm.
Fig. S13.
Comparison of 48-hour wearing comfort of the BAUS couplant, typical liquid (Aquasonic Clear, Parker Labs) and solid (Aquaflex, Parker Labs) hydrogel couplants, and elastomer couplants including silicone (Ecoflex, Smooth-On), acrylic tape (VHB, 3M), polyurethane (Sigma-Aldrich), and Aqualene (Olympus) on the market. A. wearing comfort tests. Evaluations for different couplants according to the patch test criteria of the International Contact Dermatitis Research Group (ICDRG). ‘+?’ and ‘−’ stand for doubtful positive reaction and negative reaction, respectively. B. Participants reported their feelings of discomfort while the couplants were attached to the skin. The feelings were then evaluated by the visual analogue scale (VAS), ranging from 0 (most comfortable) –10 (most uncomfortable). Error bar values represent the mean +/- SD (n = 15 independent samples). Statistical significance and P values are determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test; ***P ≤ 0.001.
**Fig. S14.**
Comparison of attenuation coefficients of the BAUS couplant and typical couplants on the market. Attenuation coefficients of the BAUS couplant, typical liquid (Aquasonic Clear, Parker Labs) and solid (Aquaflex, Parker Labs) hydrogel couplants, and elastomer couplants including silicone (Ecoflex, Smooth-On), acrylic tape (VHB, 3M), polyurethane (Sigma-Aldrich), and Aqualene (Olympus) on the market.
Fig. S15.
Center frequency distribution characterization of the BAUS probes. A. 80 elements (channels) of 3 MHz probe. B. 80 elements (channels) of 7 MHz probe. C. 80 elements (channels) of 10 MHz probe.
Fig. S16.
Electrical impedance characterization of the BAUS probes. A. Impedance spectrum of 3 MHz BAUS probe. B. Impedance spectrum of 7 MHz probe. C. Impedance spectrum of 10 MHz probe.
Fig. S17.
Crosstalk measurement of the BAUS probes. A. schematic illustration of the crosstalk-characterization setup. B. Measurement results for 3 MHz, 7 MHz, and 10 MHz BAUS probes.
Fig. S18.
Experimentally measured pulse bandwidths of the BAUS probes. A. 3 MHz BAUS probe. B. 7 MHz BAUS probe. C. 10 MHz BAUS probe. The bandwidth results are from Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) of the pulse-echo test results in Fig. S19.
Fig. S19.
Spatial pulse length characterization of the BAUS probes. A. pulse-echo response of 3 MHz BAUS probe. B. pulse-echo response of 7 MHz BAUS probe. C. pulse-echo response of 10 MHz BAUS probe. Note a standard wire phantom was used as the reflector.
Fig. S20.
Characterization of point spread function (PSF) for the 7 MHz BAUS probe. A. setup of the experiments. B. imaging results from experiments. C. imaging results from simulation. D. comparison of experimental and simulation results.
Fig. S21.
Characterization of point spread function (PSF) for the 10 MHz BAUS probe. A. setup of the experiments. B. imaging results from experiments. C. imaging results from simulation. D. comparison of experimental and simulation results.
Fig. S22.
Characterization of point spread function (PSF) for the 3 MHz BAUS probe. A. setup of the experiments. B. imaging results from experiments. C. imaging results from simulation. D. comparison of experimental and simulation results.
Fig. S23.
Anti-vibration performance of the BAUS device. A. Schematic illustration of the anti-vibration testing setup and the imaging results of the test. Testing setup consists of an orbital shaker with a vibration frequency from 0~3.5 Hz and an ultrasound phantom on the top of the shaker. Imaging of a mock vessel in the ultrasound phantom by the BAUS device at different vibration frequencies. C. Quantitative analysis of the diameter of the mock vessel imaged by the BAUS device at different vibration frequencies. Error bar values represent mean +/- SD (n = 3 independent samples).
Fig. S24.
Thermal characterization of the BAUS device under various working voltages. A. Thermal images show the temperature mapping of the BAUS device under 0, 15, 30, 45, 60, 75, 90 V working voltages. B. The highest temperature on the device versus the working voltage. Error bar values represent mean +/- SD of the highest temperature on the device surface (n = 3 independent samples). Scale bar, 10mm.
Fig. S25.
Thermal characterization of the BAUS device adhered on the skin under 90 V working voltage for 48 hours. Thermal images show the temperature mapping of the BAUS device under 90 V working voltage for 48 hours. B. The highest temperature on the device versus time. Error bar values represent mean +/- SD of the highest temperature on the device surface (n = 3 independent samples). Scale bar, 10mm.
Fig. S26.
Principle of the plane wave compounding method. A. Plane waves from multiple angles can be generated by applying flat time-delay profiles to all elements. B. The radio frequency (RF) data of the ultrasound waves are collected by all elements. The data can be used for constructing multiple images with lower resolutions. C. Summation of these low-resolution images can lead to an image with a higher resolution.
Phased array simulation of acoustic wave propagation. A. Wave generation, propagation, waveform formation, and focusing illustration of phased array. The phased array probe consists of an array of elements, each of which can be pulsed independently and generate ultrasound wave, as depicted. By programming the time delay of element array, a pattern of constructive wave interference could be generated. B. Multiphysics simulation of the physical process of the wave propagation, convergence, and focusing behavior of a phased array device (at 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12 μs). The simulation is performed using a package of COMSOL Multiphysics software. Scale bar, 0.4cm.
Fig. S28.
Comparison of the phased array transducer and a single-element transducer. A, B, and C show the -30-, 0-, and +30-degree beamforming with the phased array BAUS device by adopting different time-delay profile. D and E show the comparison of a single-element transducer and the phased array transducer. The single-element transducer shows weak and omnidirectional acoustic beam, and the phased array transducer can strongly enhance the beam directivity and acoustic intensity.
Fig. S29.
Phased array beamforming result versus diverse frequencies. A, B, C, D, E, and F are devices working under 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, and 13 MHz phased array beamforming. The series of results prove the current pitch (0.3 mm) can support 3~5 MHz phased array beamforming and the phased array applications due to the relatively small impact of the grating lobe and side lobes. A higher frequency will cause beam split and will no longer be suitable for imaging applications.
Fig. S30.
48-hour continuous imaging of the carotid artery and jugular vein. One image or a short movie (see Movie. S5) is saved every 0.5 hours.
Fig. S31.
48-hour continuous imaging of the bicep muscle. One image is saved every 0.5 hours.
Fig. S32.
48-hour continuous imaging of the bicep muscle. Images are saved before exercise, 2 hours after the 1-hour weightlifting training, and 10 hours after the weightlifting training.
Fig. S33.
48-hour continuous imaging of the lung. One image is saved every 0.5 hours.
Fig. S34.
Imaging performance of the BAUS device under body motions. A. Imaging of the heart under dynamic body motions including jogging and cycling. B. Imaging of the lung under dynamic body motions including jogging and cycling.
Fig. S35.
48-hour continuous imaging of the heart. One image is saved every 0.5 hours.
Fig. S36.
48-hour continuous imaging of the gastric atrium. One image is saved every 0.5 hours.
**Table S1.** Comparison of the performances of the BAUS devices and existing wearable ultrasound imaging devices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of the wearable ultrasound imaging device</th>
<th>Wearable ultrasound (Robotic handling) (^{(14, 15)})</th>
<th>Wearable ultrasound (Mechanical fixation) (^{(16-18)})</th>
<th>Stretchable ultrasound (^{(12)})</th>
<th>Bioadhesive ultrasound (This work)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subject freely moving?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous imaging duration (hour)*</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interfacial toughness on skin ((J/m^2))</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&gt;850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probe weight (g)</td>
<td>&gt;400</td>
<td>&gt;400</td>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>10-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probe thickness (mm)</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>0.2-2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency (MHz)</td>
<td>4 and 5</td>
<td>7.5 and 10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3, 7, 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With backing and matching? (Yes/No)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial resolution (mm)**</td>
<td>0.578 at 4 MHz</td>
<td>0.308 at 7.5 MHz</td>
<td>5.775 at 2 MHz</td>
<td>0.77 at 3 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.462 at 5 MHz</td>
<td>0.231 at 10 MHz</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.225 at 7 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.193 at 10 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral resolution (mm)**</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>1.79 at frequency of 3 MHz and focal depth of 6 cm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.38 at frequency of 7 MHz and focal depth of 3 cm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.38 at frequency of 10 MHz and focal depth of 2 cm.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: the continuous imaging duration is defined as the longest time that a device can be adhered or worn on the skin for continuous imaging

**: See materials and method for details on evaluating the axial and lateral resolutions.
Table S2. Comparison of performances of the BAUS couplant, typical liquid (Aquasonic Clear, Parker Labs) and solid (Aquaflex, Parker Labs) hydrogel couplants, and elastomer couplants including silicone (Ecoflex, Smooth-On), acrylic tape (VHB, 3M), polyurethane (Sigma-Aldrich), and Aqualene (Olympus) on the market.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BAUS couplant</th>
<th>Liquid hydrogel</th>
<th>Solid hydrogel</th>
<th>Silicone</th>
<th>VHB acrylic tape</th>
<th>Polyurethane</th>
<th>Olympus Aqualene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interfacial toughness at 0 h (J/m²)</td>
<td>853</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interfacial toughness after 48 h in air (J/m²)</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>dehydrated</td>
<td>dehydrated</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interfacial toughness after 48 h in water (J/m²)</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>detached</td>
<td>detached</td>
<td>detached</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>detached</td>
<td>detached</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wearing comfort after 48 h in air (VAS)*</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>3.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attenuation coefficient at 0 h at 3MHz</td>
<td>0.0280</td>
<td>0.0708</td>
<td>0.1176</td>
<td>0.2129</td>
<td>1.3497</td>
<td>0.7388</td>
<td>0.2842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0671</td>
<td>0.1010</td>
<td>0.1323</td>
<td>0.3127</td>
<td>1.5718</td>
<td>1.0783</td>
<td>0.5061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1099</td>
<td>0.1199</td>
<td>0.1735</td>
<td>0.4705</td>
<td>2.0277</td>
<td>1.3199</td>
<td>0.7206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attenuation coefficient after 48 h in air at 3MHz</td>
<td>0.0357</td>
<td>dehydrated</td>
<td>dehydrated</td>
<td>0.2026</td>
<td>1.2603</td>
<td>0.7068</td>
<td>0.3166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0713</td>
<td>dehydrated</td>
<td>dehydrated</td>
<td>0.2941</td>
<td>1.6425</td>
<td>1.0627</td>
<td>0.4993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1314</td>
<td>dehydrated</td>
<td>dehydrated</td>
<td>0.4597</td>
<td>1.9925</td>
<td>1.3777</td>
<td>0.7056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed of sound (m/s)**</td>
<td>1548</td>
<td>1538</td>
<td>1595</td>
<td>989</td>
<td>1520</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>1586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acoustic impedance (MRayl)***</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: VAS is visual analogue scale. A lower VAS indicates a higher wearing comfort.

**: speed of sound in the skin is 1595-1730 m s⁻¹.

**: acoustic impedance of the skin is 1.48-1.68 MRayl.
### Table S3. Design specifications of the BAUS probes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>3 MHz</th>
<th>7 MHz</th>
<th>10 MHz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Probe center frequency</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Probe type</strong></td>
<td>Phased array</td>
<td>Linear array</td>
<td>Linear array</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of channels</strong></td>
<td>80 (2 by 40)</td>
<td>80 (2 by 40)</td>
<td>80 (2 by 40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Element pitch (mm)</strong></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kerf (mm)</strong></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aperture (cm)</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Focal depth (cm)</strong></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cable length (m)</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>System Connector</strong></td>
<td>Customized</td>
<td>Customized</td>
<td>Customized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Array dimension (cm)</strong></td>
<td>2 by 2</td>
<td>2 by 1</td>
<td>2 by 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Active aperture (cm)</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Passive aperture (cm)</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transducer pixel number</strong></td>
<td>40 by 40</td>
<td>40 by 20</td>
<td>40 by 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Matching layer acoustic impedance Z_m (MRal)</strong></td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Matching layer thickness λ_m/4 (μm)</strong></td>
<td>103.1</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>41.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Backing layer thickness (mm)</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: λ_m is the acoustic wavelength in the matching layer.
**Table S4.** Acoustic properties and performances of the BAUS probes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probe center frequency</th>
<th>3 MHz</th>
<th>7 MHz</th>
<th>10 MHz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electrical impedance (Ω)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crosstalk (dB)</td>
<td>&lt; -40</td>
<td>&lt; -40</td>
<td>&lt; -40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse bandwidth</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial pulse length (mm)</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial resolution (mm)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td>0.1925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal depth (cm)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral resolution at focal depth (mm)</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Seeing inside a body in motion

Adhesive ultrasound patches can provide medical imaging for patients on the go

By Philip Tan1 and Nanshu Lu2,3,4,5

Ultrasound imaging is one of the most powerful and commonplace medical tools for noninvasive visualization of soft tissues inside the body. However, ultrasonography requires highly trained sonographers to position and orient the transducer on the surface of the patient’s body, and image quality is highly dependent on the steadiness of the operator’s hand. Because of this, ultrasonic imaging has been largely limited to short and static sessions not only for logistical reasons but also because of the very real threat of musculoskeletal injuries for sonographers from repetitive motions associated with transducer manipulation (1).

Coincidentally, there has also been a sonographer shortage worldwide over the past decade, and the demanding and specialized training required for certifying sonographers does not help alleviate this problem (2). On page 517 of this issue, Wang et al. (3) introduce a bioadhesive ultrasound (BAUS) patch with the potential to overcome many of these outstanding challenges.

Over the past few years, several wearable ultrasound patches have been developed (4–7). Although there have been improvements in transducer thinness and softness, none satisfy all the patch-tissue-interface requirements for high-quality ultrasonic imaging. To be ready for real-world applications, the patch must have stable adhesion to the body, the acoustic energy from the transducer must be transmitted efficiently into the body, and the device must not cause skin irritation. In a standard ultrasound exam, liquid gel is the de facto couplant, that is, a material that improves acoustic transmission at the transducer-tissue interface. However, conventional ultrasonic gel is only suitable for short imaging sessions because it dries out or drips down over time. Conversely, elastomer (4, 5, 8) and hydrogel (8) couplants have been explored but tend to have less-than-desirable transmissivity or usable time, respectively.

To overcome these weaknesses, Wang et al. combined the strengths of both elastomers and hydrogels by encapsulating a soft hydrogel in a thin elastomer membrane and coating it with a bioadhesive material. This hydrogel-elastomer hybrid, which they named the BAUS couplant, enables more than 48 hours of adhesion and sustained transmissivity. The soft and adhesive BAUS couplant also alleviates the stiffness of a rigid transducer array, making it more comfortable to wear. The rigid transducer array allows for a high transducer density, with 400 transducers per square centimeter.

The BAUS patch opens exciting opportunities for increasing accessibility to ultrasound exams. Although, at present, the patch still needs to be attached to a nonportable data acquisition system for processing the images, it could provide immediate relief for sonographers, for example, during sessions when a patient is undergoing anes-
for continuous monitoring of contagious patients with minimal exposure risk to the medical staff.

However, there do exist several limitations of the BAUS patch that will require future improvement. Wang et al. introduced a plug-and-play snap connector system that could link to several dozen transducers. However, three-dimensional ultrasonic imaging will require thousands of transducers to provide sufficient resolution. Controlling thousands of transducers requires extensive circuitry and hardware, which will limit maneuverability and mobility. Forming interconnections between transducers and the circuitry becomes more challenging with more transducers involved. Research into “ultrasound on a chip” will offer the much-desired integration of transducers with requisite analog and digital processing circuitry. The BAUS couplant has the potential to improve the long-term wearability of these systems, despite differing transducer array fabrication approaches.

To make ultrasound completely user-friendly for the average patient, artificial intelligence technology may also be needed to assist with placement and beam steering. Researchers have demonstrated an interactive application using a deep-learning model that guides the operator to manipulate the transducer to produce the best image of a target anatomy (14). Moving forward, automated image analysis and interpretation will be just as important as image acquisition. The road to providing universal accessibility for mobile ultrasonic imaging will require concerted efforts in diverse fields of engineering, science, and medicine.
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**Targeting brain cancer**

A new drug is designed to hit an old target with increased precision

**By Roger Reddel and Adel Aref**

Glioblastoma (GBM) is the most common form of malignant tumor originating in the brain and is one of the most lethal cancers, with only 1 in 20 patients surviving for 5 years after diagnosis (1). The relentless growth of cancers such as GBMs results from DNA mutations and epigenetic changes, but these also create opportunities for targeted therapies. On page 502 of this issue, Lin et al. (2) describe a new approach to killing GBM cells that targets a well-known molecular change in GBMs: the decreased expression of the DNA repair enzyme Ω4-methylguanine methyltransferase (MGMT). The authors develop a new agent that exploits this reduced DNA repair capacity and propose that their approach could be generalized to other cancers with defective DNA repair.

The expression of the MGMT gene is repressed by DNA methylation of its promoter. This occurs in ~50% of GBMs and >70% of lower-grade gliomas (grades II and III), and less frequently in other cancers (3). How this change contributes to oncogenesis is unclear, but MGMT interacts with the p21 and proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) cell cycle proteins (4), so lack of MGMT protein presumably contributes to unrestrained cell proliferation. What is much clearer is that MGMT provides a critical function in maintaining the integrity of DNA, with its primary function being to repair Ω4-methylguanine DNA adducts, which cause base-pairing with thymine rather than cytosine and thus can introduce mutations during DNA replication. MGMT repairs these adducts through a “suicide” mechanism in which it transfers the methyl group from damaged DNA to the sulfur group in its internal acceptor site, inactivating itself (3).

One of the few therapeutic interventions that has well-documented benefit in GBM...
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